September 3, 2003

Ms. Julie Joe Assistant County Attorney Travis County P.O. Box 1748 Austin, Texas 78767

OR2003-6178

Dear Ms. Joe:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 187052.

The Travis County Attorney's Office (the "county attorney") received a request for "records on file with the [county attorney]" pertaining to Cause #614611. You claim that the requested information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101, 552.108, 552.111, and 552.130 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

Section 552.108 of the Government Code provides in pertinent part:

- (a) Information held by a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that deals with the detection, investigation, or prosecution of crime [is excepted from required public disclosure] if:
 - (4) it is information that:
 - (A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or
 - (B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state [and]

(b) An internal record or notation of a law enforcement agency or prosecutor that is maintained for internal use in matters relating to law enforcement or prosecution [is excepted from required public disclosure] if:

. . .

- (3) the internal record or notation:
 - (A) is prepared by an attorney representing the state in anticipation of or in the course of preparing for criminal litigation; or
 - (B) reflects the mental impressions or legal reasoning of an attorney representing the state.
- (c) This section does not except from [required public disclosure] information that is basic information about an arrested person, an arrest, or a crime.

Gov't Code § 552.108(a)(4), (b)(3), (c). You contend that the submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.108(a)(4) and (b)(3) as information protected by the attorney work product privilege. You state that the present request "essentially encompasses a request for the entire prosecution file in Cause No. 614611."

When a request essentially seeks an entire prosecution file, the information is excepted from disclosure in its entirety pursuant to the Texas Supreme Court's decision in Curry v. Walker, 873 S.W.2d 379 (Tex. 1994) (discovery request for district attorney's entire litigation file may be denied because the decision of what to include in the file necessarily reveals the prosecutor's mental impressions or legal reasoning). In this instance, we agree that the records request encompasses the prosecutor's entire case file. Curry thus provides that the release of the information would reveal the prosecutor's mental impressions or legal reasoning. Accordingly, we determine that the county attorney may withhold the submitted information pursuant to sections 552.108(a)(4)(B) and (b)(3)(B) of the Government Code.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by

¹Based on this finding, we do not reach your other arguments against disclosure.

filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

Nathan E. Bowden

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Nattain & Bouden

NEB/DRS/seg

Ms. Julie Joe - Page 4

Ref: ID# 187052

Enc: Submitted documents

c: Mr. Mark I. Hefter

The Rusk Law Firm, P.C.

910 Lavaca

Austin, Texas 78701

(w/o enclosures)